

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,009		12/22/1999	JEONG S. LEE	003764.P006	5656
24201	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
<b>FULWIDE</b>	R PATT	ON LEE & UTE	LAM, ANN Y		
HOWARD I	HUGHES	CENTER			<del> </del>
6060 CENT	ER DRIV	Œ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TENTH FLO	OOR			1641	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90045		DATE MAIL ED. 02/11/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	——————————————————————————————————————					
	09/470,009	LEE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Ann Y. Lam	1641						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app								
Period for Reply		·						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	lovember 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa								
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19,21-23,25,26,51,53,56-60,64 and 65</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 11-19, 21-23, 25, 26, 51, 53, 56-60, (	<u>6<b>₺</b> and 65</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	<i>i</i> 2.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document								
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>		n received in this National Stage	е					
application from the International Burea	•	4id						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.						
Attachment(s)	•		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/470,009

Art Unit: 1641

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-19, 21-23, 25, 26, 51, 53, 56-60, 64-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evard, 5,242,396, in view of Lee et al., 6,733,486.

Evard discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the proximal section of the mandrel being annealed while the distal section of the mandrel is not annealed.

More specifically, as to claim 11, Evard discloses a mandrel (26) having a solid core comprised of a variable stiffness, non-metal material (i.e., plastic, see column 3, lines 38-42, and column 4, lines 28-30) said mandrel uniformly tapered from a proximal section to a distal section (see column 3, lines 38-42, and Figure 1), and said mandrel adapted to reinforce a catheter (see Figure 1.)

As to claim 19, Evard discloses an outer member (17); a hollow inner member (14) extending through said outer member; an outer lumen (18) between said inner and outer members; and a mandrel extending through said outer lumen, said mandrel comprised of a variable stiffness material, said mandrel uniformly tapered, see column

Application/Control Number: 09/470,009

Art Unit: 1641

3, lines 38-42, from a proximal section to a distal section and said mandrel is adapted to reinforce said catheter (see Figure 1.)

As to claims 13, 21 and 56, a diameter of said proximal section is larger than a diameter of said distal section of said uniformly tapered mandrel, i.e., a diameter tapering from the proximal end of the mandrel to the distal end of the mandrel, see Figure 1.

As to claims 14 and 22, the catheter comprises an inflatable member (12, 22 and 23) secured to the catheter shaft, wherein said distal section of said mandrel (26) extends to a location along the length of the catheter located in the inflatable member, see Figure 1.

As to claims 15 and 23, said distal section of said mandrel (26) extends to a location proximal to the inflatable member (see figure 1.)

As to claims 18, 26 and 51 said mandrel (26) is capable of being formed by taper extruding such that said proximal section is stiffer than said distal section (the proximal section is stiffer since the distal section is more tapered.)

As to claims 57, 59, and 65, the mandrel is fixed to the catheter shaft (see column 3, lines 38-39.)

As to claims 58, 60, 64, and 66, an inner tubular member (14) is disposed near the mandrel, wherein the inner tubular member is adapted to receive a guidewire (see column 3, lines 21-26.) Also, as to claim 64, the mandrel is formed of a polymer compatible with a polymer forming the catheter shaft (col. 4, lines 18-30.)

Application/Control Number: 09/470,009

Art Unit: 1641

Also, as to claims 12 and 53, Evard does not disclose that the plastic material is PEEK (or polyetheretherketone.)

Lee discloses a mandrel (30) used to reinforce a balloon catheter (10) (col. 4, lines 14-16) is made from pseudo-elastic or shape-memory materials including polymers such as PEEK (col. 4, lines 30-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use PEEK as taught by Lee et al. as the plastic material to form the Evard mandrel since Lee et al. teaches that PEEK provides the advantage of being a pseudo-elastic or shape-memory material suitable for forming a mandrel to reinforce a catheter.

Furthermore, Evard teaches that the proximal end of the polymer mandrel (col. 4, line 30) is flattened and secured within the adapter (13) of the catheter by suitable means, (col. 3, lines 49-53.) Evard gives examples of suitable means such as adhesives but does not list annealing as one of the examples.

Lee et al. teaches that a polymeric mandrel can be secured to a polymeric catheter by conventional means such as adhesives or heat fusion (col. 5, lines 10-12, and col. 4, lines 45-47.) Heat fusion is the same as annealing, which typically involves heating and cooling. (Heat fusion involves heating and subsequently cooling to room temperature, and thus is the same as annealing.) (Also, annealing, or heating, PEEK, according to Applicant's disclosure and arguments, would stiffen the PEEK material, giving it a higher crystallinity than if it was not annealed, or heated.)

Art Unit: 1641

Because both Evard and Lee et al. teach use of conventional means to bond a polymeric mandrel to a catheter and both list adhesives as an example of a conventional means, and Lee et al. further lists heat fusion as another example, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that heat fusion is a functional equivalent to adhesives for bonding a polymeric mandrel to a catheter.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the above rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/470,009 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-0822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Sat 11-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

03/07/05